



\$~25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 19171/2025 and CM APPL. 6002/2026**

**INDIAN SPEECH LANGUAGE AND
HEARING ASSOCIATION**

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ravi Sagar, Mr. Bibin John,
Ms. Glorina George, Mr Stanislas,
Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Mahapatra,
CGSC with Ms. Mrinmayee Sahu,
and Mr. Tribhuvan, Advs for R-1.
Ms. Counsel for R-2, (*appearance not
given*)
Mr. Shivender singh and M L Meenap
for R-5.
Mr. Chandrashekhar Chakalabbi and
Mr. Jatin Kumar, Advocates for R-8.
Mr M. L. Meena, Mr Shivendra
Singh, Ms Prakriti Rastogi, Ms
Aryama Singh Rajput Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER
29.01.2026

%

1. The petitioner claims to be an Indian Speech-language & Hearing Association, which is a professional body of qualified rehabilitation professionals engaged in promoting standards in education, training and practice in the field of speech and hearing. The Rehabilitation Council of



India Act, 1992 (hereinafter 'the Act, 1992') governs the regulation of training, qualification and registration of rehabilitation professionals and prohibits unqualified persons from practicing with penal consequences under Section 13(3) of the Act, 1992.

2. The petitioner-Association became aware of the alleged practice by private respondents as an independent Audiologist and Speech Language Pathologist while simultaneously pursuing the B.ASLP Course. The petitioner submits that such an act is impermissible under the provisions of the Act, 1992. The petitioner also submits that various complaints were made to respondent no.2 and other authorities, however, they have not been considered by them.

3. Having considered the overall facts and situation, the Court finds that if the petitioner-Association has raised the grievance to respondent no.2, the same should have been dealt with in accordance with extant rules and regulations.

4. Accordingly, the petition, along with pending application, if any, stands disposed of with the direction to respondent no.2 to decide the petitioner's grievance, on receipt of a copy of this order with due expedition not later than four months from the date of receipt, under the communication to the petitioner.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J

JANUARY 29, 2026

aks/mj