



\$~50 and 51

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ MISC. APPEAL(PMLA) 89/2025 & CM APPL. 79617/2025,
CM APPL. 79619/2025
DR PRANAV KUMARAppellant
Through: Ms. Rupali Singh, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Rahul Tyagi, Standing
Counsel, DOE with Mr. Aniket
Kumar Singh, Advocate.

51.

+ MISC. APPEAL(PMLA) 90/2025 & CM APPL. 79621/2025,
CM APPL. 79623/2025
DR. AMINA BANO ANSARIAppellant
Through: Ms. Rupali Singh, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Amit Tiwari, CGSC with
Ms. Ayushi Srivastava, GP, Mr.
Arpam Narwal, Mr. Kushagra
Malik and Mr. Ujjwal Tyagi,
Advocates for UOI.
Mr. Rahul Tyagi, Standing
Counsel, DOE with Mr. Aniket
Kumar Singh, Advocate.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA

ORDER

%

12.05.2026

1. On an objection of the learned counsel appearing on behalf of



the respondents that the appellants are neither the residents of Delhi nor are they working for gain in Delhi and, therefore, these appeals would not be maintainable before this Court, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellants submits that jurisdiction of this Court was being invoked only because the Attorney through whom the appeals have been filed by these appellants, works for gain in Delhi.

2. In our view, the same would not satisfy the requirement of Section 42 of the Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002 (PMLA).

3. At this juncture, the learned counsel appearing for the appellants prays for leave to withdraw these appeals to move the same before the Court of appropriate jurisdiction.

4. The appeals are accordingly disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J

MAY 12, 2026/vd/sk/as