



\$~1 & 2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1902/2025**

ABHISHEK KUMAR, ADVOCATE

.....Petitioner

Through: Counsel for the petitioner (appearance not given) along with petitioner is present through VC.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms Avni Singh with Mr Vaibhav Sharma, Advocates for GNCTD.

+ **W.P.(C) 15626/2025**

ABHISHEK KUMAR, ADVOCATE

.....Petitioner

Through: Counsel for the petitioner (appearance not given) along with petitioner is present through VC.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Shiven Varma with Mr. Rudraksh Mathur, Advocates for GNCTD.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

16.03.2026

W.P.(C) 15626/2025

1. The petition is for the following relief:



“a. Issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, 1950 directing Respondent to consider dispose of the Petitioner’s application number 2652 dated 28.08.2025 under the “Incentive of Inter-Caste Marriages” scheme within a reasonable time.”

2. The respondents, by way of status report have placed on record the sanction order dated 30.12.2025, whereby, an amount of ₹25,000/- has been credited to the petitioner’s account.
3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, however, submits that the petitioner is entitled to additional amount to the extent of ₹2,50,000/-.
4. The prayer in the instant writ petition is only for consideration of petitioner’s application, and the same has already been accorded. If the petitioner is not satisfied with the quantum of the amount which has been approved, the petitioner will have to take out the fresh proceedings in accordance with law.
5. Even otherwise, as of now, there does not appear to be any material available on record which would *prima facie* indicate that the petitioner is entitled to an additional amount of ₹2,50,000/-. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to justify his claim in the appropriate proceedings.
6. With these observations, the petition stands disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 1902/2025

7. The contempt petition also stands disposed of.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J

MARCH 16, 2026/ar