



\$~71

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 8769/2025, CRL.M.A. 36540/2025

MOHD JAVED AHMAD

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kartickay Mathur, Mr. Shanker, Mr. Devender Dubey and Mr. Ratnesh Srivastava, Advocates with petitioners in person

versus

GOVT OFNCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Hitesh Vali, APP for State Mr. Brajesh Kumar Yadav and Mr. Akshay Kumar, Advocates for R-2 with R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

%

13.03.2026

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.55/2021 dated 31.01.2021 registered at PS.: Kanjhawala under *Sections 354/509/323* of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (*IPC*) and all proceedings emanating therefrom, in view of the Settlement Deed dated 30.06.2025 [*Annexure P2*] arrived at between the petitioner and the respondent no.2 before the Delhi Mediation Centre, Tis Hazari Courts, which is accompanied by their respective proofs of identity.
2. Issue notice.
3. Learned APP for the State accepts notice and submits that he has no



objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

4. Respondent no.2, present in Court, also accepts notice and affirms the terms of the aforesaid Settlement Deed dated 30.06.2025 whereby the petitioner has already paid her a sum of Rs.2,00,000/- out of the total settlement amount of Rs.3,00,000/- and has further handed over a cheque dated 18.10.2025 bearing No.638870 of Rs.1,00,000/- (State Bank of India, Branch-Kanjhawla) in Court to her today as full and final settlement of all her present, past and future claims including alimony, maintenance, etc. She further submits that she has no objection to the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

5. The petitioner and the respondent no.2, present in Court, as well as their credentials, as on record, have been identified by the IO.

6. Facts disclose that a settlement has already been arrived voluntarily between the parties and the present petition is accompanied by their respective affidavit(s). In view thereof, the parties shall remain bound by all the terms and conditions of the settlement arrived *inter se* themselves. As such, following the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jitendra Raghuvanshi & Ors. vs. Babita Raguvanshi & Anr. (2013) 4 SCC 58***, ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2012) 10 SCC 303*** and ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr. (2014) 6 SCC 466***, since there is nothing left to corroborate and prove the case of the prosecution, continuation of the aforesaid FIR against the petitioner will be an exercise in futility.

7. As such, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.55/2021 dated 31.01.2021 registered at PS: Kanjhawala under *Sections 354/509/323 IPC* and all proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed.



8. Accordingly, the present petition alongwith the pending application is disposed of.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

MARCH 13, 2026/So