



\$~45

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 17825/2024 and CM APPL. 75880/2024**

**DEVPRABHA CONSTRUCTION
PRIVATE LIMITED (JV)**

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ankur Kashyap, Mr. Ajith S
Ranganathan, Mr. Rohit Rajershi Mr.
Aman Bajaj, Mr. Purushartha Singh
and Mr. Siddharth Dua, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr Rohan Jaitley CGSC, Mr Akshay
Sharma Adv, Mr Dev Pratap Shahi
Adv, Mr. Varun Pratap Singh Adv,
Mr Yogya Bhatia, Advocates for
UOI.
Mr. Rajeev Saxena, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Pankaj Seth, Advocate for
R-2 and 3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

07.04.2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the stand taken by the respondent no.2 and respondent no. 3 in their counter-affidavit in paragraph no. 7, the petition can be disposed of while binding the said respondents to their assertions that they will not rely on the redacted portion of statement of Mr. Vikas Tikmani. The paragraph no. 7 of the counter-



affidavit filed by respondent nos. 2 and 3, is extracted as under:

“7. That, without prejudice and without constituting any precedent, the Deponent hereby submits that the redacted portion of statement of Mr. Vikas Tikmani, an individual of Opposite Party No.6, will not be relied upon by the Respondent No.2 during the course of pending inquiry. This will effectively address the concern of the Petitioner regarding procedural fairness and principles of natural justice.”

2. The respondent nos. 2 and 3 shall remain bound by the aforesaid statement. In view of the aforesaid, the grievance of the petitioner stands mitigated and, accordingly, the petition along with pending application, stands disposed of.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

APRIL 7, 2026

aks