



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 4659/2025**

KARAN SHARMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sumit Kumar and Mr. Akhilesh
Gupta, Advocates

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Manoj Pant, APP for the State
with SI Sandeep.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

06.05.2026

%

1. By way of the present application, the applicant is seeking grant of anticipatory bail in case arising out of FIR bearing no. 350/2025, registered at Police Station DBG Road, Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under Section 69 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (hereafter 'BNS').

2. Briefly stated, the facts of the present case are that the FIR came to be registered on the complaint lodged by the complainant, Ms. X, alleging that about 3–4 years ago, she came into contact with the applicant, who was residing near her house. It is alleged that they exchanged phone numbers and that the applicant gained his trust, after which, on the false pretext of marriage, he established physical relations with her. It is further alleged that the applicant continued to call the complainant to his sister's house, where



he repeatedly subjected her to sexual assault on the false assurance of marriage. It is further alleged that on 17.09.2025, after establishing physical relations with the complainant, the applicant refused to marry her, which ultimately led to the registration of the present FIR. The prosecutrix, in her statement recorded under Section 183 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereafter 'BNSS'), also stated that she had undergone medical termination of pregnancy (MTP) on 3–4 occasions. However, it is not disputed that the prosecutrix was a major at the time of the alleged incidents.

3. The chargesheet has since been filed, the applicant's name has been reflected in Column No. 11 thereof, and proceedings for issuance of non-bailable warrants (NBWs) have also been initiated against him.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is argued that the present complaint has been lodged as a counterblast to a civil suit for recovery of money filed by the applicant's father against the mother of the prosecutrix. It is further argued that there is an unexplained delay in the registration of the FIR. Accordingly, it is prayed that the applicant be granted anticipatory bail.

5. *Per contra*, the learned APP for the State submits that the allegations against the applicant are serious. It is argued that the applicant had established physical relations with the prosecutrix on the false pretext of marriage. It is further submitted that the prosecutrix, in her statement recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS, stated that she became pregnant on 3–4 occasions during her relationship with the applicant and that, upon the same being disclosed to the applicant's father, certain pills were allegedly administered to her for aborting the fetus. Accordingly, it is prayed that the



present application be dismissed.

6. This Court has **heard** arguments addressed by the learned counsel for the applicant as well as the learned APP for the state, and has perused the material available on record.

7. The prosecutrix is present before this Court, who states that she was in a consensual relationship with the applicant, and the FIR was registered under some misunderstanding. She further states that she has no objection to the applicant being granted anticipatory bail. Further, it is not disputed that she was a major at the time of the alleged incident.

8. As far as the allegation that she underwent MTP is concerned, the Investigating Officer (IO) informs this Court that there is no medical record to that effect.

9. Keeping in view all the facts and circumstances mentioned hereinabove, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the applicant, and in event of arrest, the applicant shall be released on his furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the SHO/I.O concerned on the following terms and conditions:

- i) The applicant shall join investigation, as and when called by the concerned IO/SHO and shall remain available on mobile numbers; shared by him with the Police.
- ii) The applicant shall not leave country without prior permission of the concerned court and shall also surrender his passport with the IO/SHO.
- iii) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make an attempt to influence the witnesses or tamper with the evidence in any manner.



iv) In case of change of residential address/contact details, the applicant shall promptly inform the same to the concerned I.O/SHO.

10. Accordingly, the bail application stands disposed of.

11. It is, however, clarified that nothing expressed hereinabove shall tantamount to an expression of opinion on merits of the case.

12. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

MAY 06, 2026/zp
RB