



\$~84

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 18309/2025**

SUKESH KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr Arpit Bhargava, Mr Sarthak Sharma, Mr, Abhishek Gaiind, Mr Ajay Singh Gosain, Ms Astha Sharma, Ms Amrita Dhawan and Ms Nitasha Gupta, Advs. (DHCLSC)

versus

**EMPLOYEES STATE INSURANCE
COPORATION & ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Shlok Chandra Standing Counsel with Mr. Parikshit Singh Bhati, Ms. L.D. Crasta, Adv for R-1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

27.01.2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that, on account of the demise of the mother of the petitioner, the prayers 'a' to 'c' in the petition have been rendered infructuous. He, however, presses prayer 'd'.
2. Having considered the nature of the controversy involved herein, and the directions of the Court passed from time to time, it would be appropriate for the petitioner to take appropriate steps for filing a civil suit for the aforesaid relief. To determine prayer 'd', the Court will have to adjudicate upon various questions of facts, which do not seem to be admitted.
3. Accordingly, reserving that aforesaid liberty in favour of the



petitioner, the petition stands disposed of.

PURUSHAINdra KUMAR KAURAV, J

JANUARY 27, 2026

aks/mj