



\$~7

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

FAO(OS) 181/2024 & CM APPL. 74520/2024

KRISHAN KUMAR

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Pritish Sabharwal, Ms.
Shweta Singh, Advs.

versus

BIJENDER KUMAR

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Smita Maan, Mr. Vishal
Maan, Mr. Aditya Singh and
Mr. Kartik Dabas, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK CHAUDHARY

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RENU BHATNAGAR

ORDER

%

05.05.2026

1. The present appeal assails the Order dated 19.09.2024 passed by the learned Single Judge in CS (OS) 754/2024. *Vide* the impugned order, the learned Single Judge, while issuing summons in the suit, has restrained the appellant from interfering with the possession of the respondent/plaintiff in the suit.
2. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
3. *Vide* order dated 19.12.2024, a *status quo* order was passed by this Court.
4. Learned counsel for the parties agree that let the said order continue during the pendency of the suit i.e., CS (OS) 754/2024.
5. Accordingly, with the consent of the parties, the appeal stands disposed of with the direction that the *status quo* with regard to



ownership and possession of the property in dispute shall be maintained during pendency of civil suit, i.e., CS (OS) 754/2024.

6. Pending applications also stand disposed of.

VIVEK CHAUDHARY, J

RENU BHATNAGAR, J

MAY 5, 2026/r/nc