



\$~55

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 17990/2025**

**M/S TECHNO CRAFT ENGINEER THROUGH ITS
PARTNERS**

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Anurag Rajput and Mr. Dhruv
Bhardwaj, Advocates**

versus

**AVATO WARD 64 STATE GOODS AND SERVICE TAX
& ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: **Ms. Urvi Mohan, Advocate for R-
GNCTD**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

18.05.2026

%

1. It is the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that the provisional attachment of the petitioner's bank account has been objected to by filing objections to that effect.
2. Let the objections be considered by the respondents within the timelines prescribed under Section 74 of the DVAT Act, 2004.
3. In view of the above, the petition is not pressed and stands disposed of accordingly.
4. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to approach this Court afresh, if a cause to that effect arises.



5. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of accordingly.

NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE, J

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

MAY 18, 2026/ay/sk