



\$~15

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 17987/2025

RAMESH CHANDRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Alok Kumar, Adv.

versus

DELHI JAL BOARD & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Yeeshu Jain, ASC with Mr.
Sachin Garg, Ms. Jyoti Tyagi,
Ms. Vishruti Pandey, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

09.01.2026

1. Learned counsel representing the Petitioner submits that if the Petitioner was undergoing 'currency period' of penalty and adverse record, then Seal Cover Procedure should have been adopted.
2. At being posed a pointed question, learned counsel representing the Petitioner admits that this issue was never pressed before the Central Administrative Tribunal. A perusal of the Impugned Order dated 22.08.2025 also shows that this submission was never made before Central Administrative Tribunal.
3. Accordingly, the present Petition is disposed of with liberty to the Petitioner to file an appropriate application seeking review/reconsideration of his matter before Central Administrative Tribunal, if permissible in law.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J.

JANUARY 09, 2026

jai/kb