



\$~27

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **FAO 388/2024 and CM APPL. 73665/2024**

ADITYA MADAN

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Anshu Mahajan, Advocate with
appellant in person

versus

SHOBHIT MADAN

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sougata Ganguly,
Mr. Deepak K Bansal, Mr. Rukban Tyagi,
Mrs. Yogita Bansal and Mr. Manish Jain,
Advocates

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER
12.03.2026

%

1. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the parties are brothers and that the appellant seeks leave to withdraw the present appeal with liberty to move an appropriate application before the concerned Court. It is stated that the respondent's share in the property of the father is now available for attachment.
2. Leave, as prayed for, is granted. Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed as withdrawn alongwith pending application with liberty as prayed for. The application filed, if any, shall be considered by the Trial Court in accordance with law. The respondent shall also be at liberty to raise the objections, as may be available to it.
3. It is clarified that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the



merits of the case either way.

DASTI, under the signatures of the Court Master.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

MARCH 12, 2026/na