



\$~1

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(CRL) 3850/2025 & CRL.M.A. 2100/2026

KAILASHI UDAY KAPOOR

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Shabieta Nabi, Mr.
Akhileshwar Jha, Ms. Shreya
Jha, Mr. Varun Varma, Ms.
Asmita Mishra, Advs.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing
Counsel (Crl) with Mr. Abhinav
Kr. Arya and Mr. Aryan
Sachdeva, Advs. Insp. Yogesh
Kumar, PS Govindpuri.
Ms. Anita Sahani, Mr. Shivom
Garg, Mr. Vinay Goel, Advs.
for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA

ORDER

%

21.01.2026

1. The learned counsel for the respondent no. 2 submits that the petitioner is being allowed to meet the ailing father of the parties. Though this is disputed by the petitioner, in our view, this dispute cannot be adjudicated in a writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.
2. As far as the present petition is concerned, we have already recorded and again record the submissions of the learned counsel for the respondent no. 2 that the petitioner will be granted reasonable



access to meet the father of the parties.

3. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of by recording the above statement of the respondent no. 2, and leaving it open to the petitioner to avail of his remedies in accordance with law.

4. The Writ Petition along with the application is disposed of with the above liberty.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J

JANUARY 21, 2026/gs/sk/Yg