



\$~27

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 9799/2024 & CRL.M.A. 37556/2024**

MANISH GOSWAMI AND ORSPetitioners

Through: Petitioners with their counsel

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for the
State.

R-2 with her counsel

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

10.02.2026

%

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR bearing no. 225/2017, dated 22.04.2017, registered at Police Station Farsh Bazar, Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/354/34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC').
2. The petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court in person and have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Uttam Nagar, Delhi.
3. Brief facts of the present case are that the marriage between petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 was solemnized on 12.06.2015, as per Hindu rites and customs. It is stated that one male child was born out of the said wedlock, who is presently in the custody of respondent no. 2. Due to temperamental differences, parties have been residing separately. It is stated



that on the complaint of respondent no. 2, the present FIR was registered against the petitioners.

4. During pendency of the case, both the parties had amicably settled their disputes before the learned Principal Judge, Family Courts, Dwarka, New Delhi *vide* Settlement Deed dated 11.01.2024. The complainant is present in person alongwith her counsel, who states that she has received the balance compromise amount of Rs.9,00,000/-, by way of Demand Draft bearing No. 0003178, dated 10.02.2026 drawn on HDFC Bank.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioners also submits that the affidavits showing the protection of interest of minor child, as per the judgment titled as ***Ganesh vs. Sudhirkumar Shrivastava: (2020) 20 SCC 787*** passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, have been filed and the same are on record.

6. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2, who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. Respondent no. 2 further states she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

7. This Court notes that the custody of minor children is with respondent no. 2 and the future right of the child will not be affected by virtue of this compromise.

8. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.



9. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 225/2017, dated 22.04.2017, registered at Police Station Uttam Nagar, Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/354/34 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.
10. In view of above, the present petition stands disposed of.
11. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

FEBRUARY 10, 2026/A