



\$~47

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 9774/2024**

VINAY KAUSHIK & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: **Ms. Neha Mishra, Advocate**
alongwith petitioners in person

versus

THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.....Respondents

Through: **Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar, APP for**
the State with SI Jarnail Singh, SI
Narender, PS Mehraul
Mr. Samama Suhail, Mr.Osama
Suhail and Mr. S.A.Siddiqui,
Advocates for R-2
Ms Megha Chadha, Ms. Neha Mishra
and Ms. Cheerish Gujral, Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

17.03.2026

1. By way of present petition, the petitioners seeks quashing of FIR No. 0387/2023 registered at Police Station Inder Puri, Delhi for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC').
2. During the Course of trial, the accused persons were discharge of Sections 376/377 of IPC vide order dated 05.05.2025. The parties have now compromised.
3. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.
4. All the petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer (IO) concerned, Police



Station, Inder Puri, Delhi.

5. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage between the petitioner no. 1 and the respondent no. 2 was solemnized at Delhi on 02.07.2006, in accordance with Hindu customs and rites. Two children namely (son) Parth and (daughter) Manya was born out of their wedlock and are in the custody of the petitioner no.1. It is stated that due to various differences, disputes and issues had arisen between the petitioners and the respondent no. 2. Upon the complaint by respondent no. 2, the present FIR came to be registered on 31.12.2023 against the petitioners. It is stated that with intervention of the family and friends, the parties have now amicably settled their dispute *vide* Settlement deed dated 10.04.2023.

6. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated that they have been living together since 09.03.2021. Therefore, she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

7. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the affidavits showing the protection of interest of minor child as per the judgment titled as ***Ganesh vs. Sudhirkumar Shrivastava: (2020) 20 SCC 787*** passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court have been filed and the same are on record.

8. In view of the above, that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.



9. Accordingly, FIR No. 0387/2023 registered at Police Station Inder Puri, Delhi for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/406 /34 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

10. The petition stands disposed of.

11. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

MARCH 17, 2026/rr/AP