



\$~4

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 16486/2024 with CM APPL. 26521/2026

DR. RAMAN MAHINDRA

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Kritika Gupta and Ms. Vidushi
Singhania, Advocates.

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Siddharth Gupta, Advocate for
MCD.

Mr. Amit Sethi, Mr. B. Anand, Mr.
Neeraj Karget and Ms. Anushka
Bhatt, Advocates for R-2 and R-3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

22.04.2026

%

1. A perusal of the documents filed with the writ petition shows that the petitioner has also filed a civil suit, wherein issues with regard to unauthorized construction by the respondents no.2 and 3, which are the subject matter of the present writ petition, have also been raised.
2. Reference may be made to the plaint filed in Civil Suit 2701/2017 and the prayers therein. For the sake of convenience, prayers (a) to (d) in the said suit are set out below:-

A. Pass a decree of permanent injunction against the defendant no.1,
thereby restraining the Defendant No.1 from allowing / permitting



any further construction/addition of any kind in the portion premises in the suit property i.e. left hand side half portion measuring 625 sq yards of Plot No. 46, (Second Floor & Third Floor) Bungalow Road, Kamla Nagar, Delhi-110007 or add any floor un-authorizedly / illegally in the said structure;

- B. Pass a decree of Mandatory Injunction against the Defendants No.1 thereby directing the said defendant No.1 to comply with the law and immediately restore the structure i.e. left hand side half portion measuring 625 sq yards of Plot No. 46, Bungalow Road, Kamla Nagar, Delhi-110007 to its original structure, as per the sanctioned plan, of the suit property & direct them to always comply with building bye-laws etc. and thus restoring the status-quo-ante as per the sanctioned building plan.
 - C. Pass orders to the Respondent No 2 to file undertaking in Court undertaking to always comply with all building bye-laws & all other laws henceforth.
 - D. Passing directions to Respondent no. 1 to thoroughly investigate the conduct of the all concerned officials of Respondent no 1, who failed to take any action either of their own volition or even after the complaints of the Plaintiff, by instituting a high-level enquiry to probe their inaction/complicity & further direct Respondent no 1 to take exemplary action against those found involved, taking into account observations & directions of Hon'ble Delhi High Court about illegal & unauthorized constructions.
3. In view thereof, this Court is not inclined to entertain the present writ petition. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed.
4. The petitioner is at liberty to raise issues in the aforesaid suit.

AMIT BANSAL, J

APRIL 22, 2026/Vivek/-