



2026:DHC:4873-DB



\$~95

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1644/2025**

TAPAS KUMAR SAHOO

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Akshay Saxena and Mr.
Anurag Soan, Advocates

versus

DR. N. KALAISELVI AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Santosh Hegde Sr Adv with
Mr V. S. R. Krishna and Mr. Mangesh Naik,
Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE OM PRAKASH SHUKLA

JUDGMENT (ORAL)

%

21.05.2026

C. HARI SHANKAR, J.

1. Mr. Saxena, learned Counsel for the petitioners, submits that though OMs have been issued, making payments to the petitioners in terms of the orders passed by the Tribunal and the order passed by this Court on 28 April 2026, they incorporate the requirement of an undertaking by the petitioners to return the amounts in the case of adverse order in the writ petitions along with interest. He submits that the respondents could not have incorporated a requirement of payment of interest when there was no such permission granted by the Tribunal or by this Court.



2026:DHC:4873-DB



2. Mr. Hedge, learned Senior Counsel for the respondents undertakes for the present, not to press the covenant requiring payment of interest on the amounts which have been disbursed by the impugned orders, in the event of an adverse order in the writ petitions, but reserves his right to move the Court for permission to incorporate such a clause.

3. Reserving right as aforesaid, in view of the statement made by Mr. Hedge, there is no purpose in continuing with this contempt petition.

4. The contempt petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

5. However, liberty would remain reserved with the petitioner to seek appropriate remedies in case they are aggrieved by the amounts disbursed.

C. HARI SHANKAR, J.

OM PRAKASH SHUKLA, J.

MAY 21, 2026/yg