



\$~24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3584/2025 & CRL.M.A. 32380/2025**

VANSH KHATRI & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Arpit Verma, Advocate.
Petitioners in-person.

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Rupali Bandhopadhyaya, ASC for
the State.
Mr. Hans Sharma, Advocate for R-2
to R-4.
Respondents Nos. 2 to 4 in-person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

%

28.01.2026

CRL.M.A. 32379/2025 (exemption)

Exemption granted, subject to just exceptions.

The application stands disposed-of.

W.P.(CRL) 3584/2025

By way of the present petition filed under section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, the petitioners and complainant/respondents Nos. 2 to 4, jointly seek quashing of case FIR No. 870/2025 dated 22.10.2025 registered under sections 115(2)/ 110/ 118(1)/ 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 ('BNS') at P.S.: Narela Industrial Area, Delhi.



2. The petition is premised on Memorandum of Settlement-cum-Understanding dated 28.10.2025, whereby the petitioners and respondents Nos. 2 to 4 have resolved the matter amicably.
3. The petition is also supported by affidavits of the petitioners and of respondents Nos. 2 to 4, alongwith proof of their IDs.
4. The contesting parties are present in court. Their credentials have been verified and they have also been identified by their respective counsel.
5. The court has interacted with the respondents Nos.2 to 4, as also with petitioners, who have confirmed that they have now resolved the matter and Memorandum of Settlement-cum-Understanding dated 28.10.2025 has been signed by them closing all issues amicably. Parties now wish to live in peace and harmony going forward.
6. Ms. Rupali Bandhopadhyia, learned ASC confirms that the State has no objection to the subject FIR being quashed.
7. In the circumstances, in line with the law laid down by the Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2012) 10 SCC 303 as also in ***Narinder Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Anr.*** reported as (2014) 6 SCC 466, this court sees no reason why the subject FIR and all proceedings emanating therefrom should not be quashed. This court is of the view that in light of the settlement between the contesting parties, continuing with the subject FIR and all subsequent proceedings would be an exercise in futility and would not be conducive to peace and harmony between the parties.
8. While allowing the petition however, this court considers it appropriate, that by way of atonement, the petitioners shall pay costs



of Rs.25,000/- *each* to Friendicoes SECA, No.271 & 273, Defence Colony Flyover Market, Jungpura, New Delhi within 02 weeks.

9. Subject to the aforesaid condition, FIR No. 870/2025 dated 22.10.2025 registered under sections 115(2)/ 110/118(1)/ 3(5) of BNS at P.S.: Narela Industrial Area, Delhi is quashed. All proceedings arising therefrom also stand closed.
10. Petitioner is directed to place on record the proof of payment of costs.
11. The Registry is directed to re-list the matter if costs are not paid as directed.
12. Petition stands disposed-of.
13. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

JANUARY 28, 2026/ak