$~24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\# **CNR No. DLHC010830772025**

\+ CONT.CAS(C) 1617/2025

SAUMYA CHAURASIA .....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anshul Rai, Adv.

versus

STATE BANK OF INDIA THROUGH BRANCH MANAGER, GANJPARA BRANCH, RAHUL MODI .....Respondent

Through: Mr. Ruchir Bhatia, SSC with Mr. Pratyaksh Gupta and Mr. Anant Mann, JSC with Ms. Lopamudra Mahapatra, Adv.
Mr. Santosh Kumar Rout, SC for SBI.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

O R D E R

% 03.11.2026

1. The present Petition has been filed under Article 215 of the Constitution of India read with Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 on behalf of the Petitioner alleging contempt of the Judgment dated 18.09.2025 in W.P (C) 11790/2025 that directions are sought to the Respondent to allow the Petitioner to access and withdraw the money from her Bank Account in SBI, Ganjpara Dug, Chattisgarh maintained by the Respondent/SBI Bank.

2. The Affidavit has been filed on behalf of Respondent No. 1/ State Bank of India, wherein it is stated that the Bank has not prevented the Petitioner from operating its Salary Account. In fact, the amounts of Rs.11 lacs and Rs. 11.30 lacs were withdrawn on 28.12.2023 and 07.05.2024, respectively.

3. It has been further explained that there was a total amount of

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 05/11/2026 at 10:45:37

Rs.31,38,127/- as on 06.11.2025, out of which the amounts have been withdrawn aggregating to Rs. 22,30,000/-.

4. It is further explained that the Income Tax Department has issued an Attachment Notice in respect of the Bank Account of the Petitioner in compliance of its statutory obligations. The account stands presently attached. It is submitted that the attachment is only in the discharge of the statutory obligations to comply with the Orders of the Competent Tax Authority. The Petitioner is entitled to withdraw the amounts, except Rs.9,08,127/-, which has been attached.

5. From the Affidavit, it is evident that the Petitioner has not been restricted or refrained from operating Account for his subsistence amount. However, an amount of Rs.9,08,127/- has been retained, pursuant to the Attachment Order of the Income Tax Authority.

6. In view of the aforesaid, it cannot be said that there is any contempt committed by the Respondents.

7. The Petitioner is at liberty to seek the appropriate remedy to challenge the attachment of the said amount on account of the Order of the Income Tax Authority.

8. The Petition, is accordingly, disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

NOVEMBER 3, 2026/dss

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 05/11/2026 at 10:45:37