$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\# **CNR No. DLHC010826112025**

\+ CRL.M.C. 7568/2025 CRL.M.A. 31681/2025

MANOJ LAL CHAWLA KANOJIA .....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Siddarth Balayan, Adv. (through VC)

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR .....Respondents

Through: Ms. Richa Dhawan, APP with Mr. Shivam Sharma, Mr. Anil Kumar, Advs.
SI Mohit, P.S. Hauz Khas
Mr. Gurmehar S Sistani, Adv. for R2

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

O R D E R

% 05.10.2026

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 [‘BNSS’] seeking quashing of FIR No. 466/2022 dated 21.12.2022 on the basis of settlement arrived at with the complainant/respondent no. 2.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that petitioner seeks to unconditionally withdraw the present petition.

3. Mr. Sistani, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent no. 2 states that he is opposing the withdrawal.

3.1. He submits that petitioner herein misled the respondent no. 2 by

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 12/10/2026 at 10:52:43

executing the settlement agreement and promising to repay the amount of Rs. 70 lakhs through 14 post-dated cheques. He states however as all these 14 cheques have been dishonoured on presentation.

3.2. He states that Look Out Circular ['LOC'] issued against the petitioner was also revoked on the basis of the settlement and taking advantage of the revocation of the LOC, the petitioner has flown out of India.

3.3. He states that therefore respondent no. 2 has been defrauded yet again by the petitioner.

3.4. He therefore submits that respondent no. 2 is no more bound by the settlement agreement between the parties and the affidavit dated 16.10.2025 filed in support of the prayer sought in this petition.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the submission of respondent no. 2 that the 14 cheques stand dishonour.

5. In view of the submission made by the learned counsel for respondent no. 2, this Court finds substance in the submission of respondent no. 2 that it cannot be held bound by the settlement.

6. The request for permission to withdraw this petition is therefore rejected.

7. The petition is dismissed.

8. Pending application stands disposed of.

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J

OCTOBER 5, 2026/mt/AM

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 12/10/2026 at 10:52:43