



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 16231/2025**

SHAYAM LAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rishi Pal and Mr. Jatin Kumar,
Advs.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr Shashi Pratap Singh, Adv with Ms
Shagun Sabharwal Adv

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

% **20.01.2026**

CM APPL. 66392/2025

Exemption is granted subject to all just exceptions.

The application is disposed of.

W.P.(C) 16231/2025

1. This is a writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following prayers:

“a) Issue a writ of mandamus directing the respondent no. 4 to consider the application/ representation for demarcation dated 05.12.2023 and 15.05.2025 preferred by the petitioners and to pass a speaking order in a time bound manner; and/or

b) issue a writ of mandamus directing the Respondents to demarcate the Petitioner's land situated in Khasra No.



1814 Min (2-05) situated in the Village Bhati, Tehsil Saket, New Delhi, within a time-bound manner; and/or c) Declare that the refusal of the revenue authorities to conduct demarcation is illegal, arbitrary, and unjustified; d) Direct the Respondents to process demarcation applications for urbanized villages in accordance with the law; e) Grant any other relief, including the costs of this petition, as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper in the interest of justice.”

2. My attention has been drawn to an order dated 17.11.2025 passed in W.P.(C) 11000/2023 on identical circumstances.
3. It is an admitted fact that the land in question has been urbanized and the issue that after urbanization of land which is the authority to carry out demarcation is pending before a Larger Bench of this Court.
4. For the said reasons and following the order dated 17.11.2025, it is directed that the Sub Divisional Magistrate shall immediately decide the application of demarcation after the decision of the Larger Bench and if so required would transfer the application to the competent authority.
5. In case of an inordinate delay, the petitioner shall be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law.
6. The petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

JASMEET SINGH, J

JANUARY 20, 2026/DM