



\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 8824/2024**

OM PRAKASH JAIN

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Sumit Kumar Khatri, Advocate**

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: **Mr. Manoj Pant, APP for the State
with SI Pankaj Kasana, PS Anand
Vihar**

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

% **27.02.2026**

CRL.M.A. 33737/2024 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.A. 33738/2024

3. By way of the present application, petitioner seeks condonation of delay of 03 days in re-filing the present petition. In view of the reasons stated in the application, the delay of 03 days in re-filing the present petition stands condoned.

4. Application stands disposed of

CRL.M.C. 8824/2024

5. By way of the present petition, the petitioner seeks quashing of FIR bearing no. 272/2024, registered at Police Station Anand Vihar, New Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 406/420/120B of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC').



6. Issue notice. Mr. Manoj Pant, learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.
7. All the petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer (IO) concerned, Police Station, Anand Vihar, Delhi.
8. Brief facts of the case are that the on 18.05.2024, the petitioner who was the owner car bearing no. DL7CW 5776 Mercedes A class, had sold the said car to the respondent no.2 for a total sum of Rs. 36,45,000/- but the car was not handed over to the respondent no. 2. Thereafter, respondent no.2 registered the present FIR against the petitioners herein. It is stated that with intervention of the family and friends, the parties have now amicably settled their dispute *vide* Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) dated 03.06.2024.
9. The learned counsel for the respondent no.2 is present before the Court and states that respondent no.2 has received the balance payment of ₹ 25,000/- through paytm today and haven o objection if the present FIR is quashed.
10. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that he has entered into compromise out of his own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat and has received the remaining amount. Therefore, he has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.
11. In view of the above, that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.



There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

12. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 272/2024, registered at Police Station Anand Vihar, New Delhi, for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 406/420/120B of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

13. The petition stands disposed of.

14. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

FEBRUARY 27, 2026/rr