$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\# **CNR No. DLHC010786812025**

\+ C.R.P. 286/2025, CM APPL. 64727/2025 (Ex. From non filing the certified copies of annexures), CM APPL. 64728/2025 (Stay), CM APPL.78734/2026 (Ex. From personal Appearance on 17.11.2026 on medical grounds), CM APPL.78735/2026 (Ex. From filing typed copy of dim/handwritten Annexures) & CM APPL. 78736/2026 (Ex. From filing certified copy of Annexures)

UMA SHANKAR MEHTA .....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Aditi Gupta, Advocate
DHCLSC

versus

VANDANA MEHTA .....Respondent

Through: Appearance not given

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR

O R D E R

% 17.11.2026

1. The present Civil Revision Petition has been filed under Section 115 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, seeking setting aside of the Impugned Order dated 06.09.2025 passed by the learned Additional Principal Judge, Family Court, South West District, Dwarka Courts, New Delhi.

2. *Vide* Order dated 29.07.2026, this Court had taken note of the repeated adjournments sought by the Petitioner on one pretext or another. A similar conduct is also evident from the orders passed on earlier occasions. It is further noticed that the Petitioner has repeatedly

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 20/11/2026 at 11:46:04

sought appointment of counsel through the Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee ["**DHCLSC**"]. However, whenever the matter is taken up for hearing, the Petitioner, either through the counsel so appointed or while appearing in person, expresses his intention to appear in person or declines to avail the services of the counsel appointed through the DHCLSC.

3. Ms. Aditi Gupta, learned counsel for the Petitioner, appointed by the DHCLSC pursuant to the directions issued by this Court *vide* Order dated 29.07.2026, submits that the Petitioner has once again requested her to seek an adjournment. She further submits that the Petitioner has expressed his unwillingness to have her represent him in the present proceedings.

4. Despite repeated queries by this Court, no cogent reason has been forthcoming in support of the request for adjournment, except that certain mediation proceedings are stated to be underway.

5. This Court finds the conduct of the Petitioner wholly unacceptable. The repeated requests for adjournments, coupled with his inconsistent stand regarding representation through counsel appointed by the DHCLSC, clearly indicate that the Petitioner is seeking to delay the proceedings. Such conduct does not warrant any further indulgence by this Court.

6. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the present Petition, along with the pending application(s), if any, stands dismissed.

HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR, J

NOVEMBER 17, 2026/rk/ma

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 20/11/2026 at 11:46:04