



\$~40

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 8808/2024**

MANOJ SHARMA AND ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Petitioners with their counsel Mr.
Sunil Kumar, Adv.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar, APP for
the State.
R-2 with her counsel Ms. Geetanjali
Rana, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

% **16.03.2026**

CRL.M.A. 33679/2024 & CRL.M.A. 33680/2024 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Applications stand disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 8808/2024 & CRL.M.A. 7795/2026

3. By way of the present petition, the petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR bearing no. 390/2012, registered at Police Station Madhu Vihar, Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC').
4. The petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court in person and have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Madhu Vihar, Delhi.



5. Briefly stated, facts of the present case are that the marriage between petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 was solemnized on 09.11.2008 as per the Hindu rites and customs and were living with each other. No child was born from their wedlock. After some time, due to different lifestyles and temperamental differences, both the parties could not reside with each other and since 25.05.2013, petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 have started living separately from each other. Thereafter, on the complaint of respondent no.2, the present FIR was registered against the petitioners under the relevant sections.

6. It is stated that both the parties have amicably settled the present matter *vide* Decree of Divorce dated 11.08.2016, passed by the learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Shahdara, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi.

7. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2 who has been identified by the concerned IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated by respondent no. 2 that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties and she has received the entire settlement amount from the petitioners. Respondent no. 2 further states that she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

8. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will, without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

9. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 390/2012, registered at Police Station



Madhu Vihar, Delhi for the commission of offence punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

10. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of. Pending application, if any also stands disposed of.

11. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

MARCH 16, 2026/A/GJ