



\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RC.REV. 302/2024 & CM APPL. 63217/2024**

**SUDESH KUMAR THROUGH LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE
SMT.NEELAM PRABHA AND ANRPetitioners**

Through: Mr. Bhavnesh Mittal, Adv. (through
VC).

versus

**MAHESH CHAND AND SONS (HUF) THROUGH ITS KARTA SH.
SHARAD AGGARWALRespondent**

Through: Mr. Ravinder Kumar, Mr. Gaurav
Garg, Mr. Vipul Govil & Mr. Govind
Kashyap, Advs.
Mr. Vikrant Arora, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

% **12.02.2026**

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present petition under Section 25-B(8) of the Delhi Rent Control Act, 1958 seeks the following prayers:-

“A. Admit and allow the present Appeal and set aside the Impugned Judgment dated 31.05.2024 passed by the court of Sh . Harjeet Singh Jaspal, SCJ-CUM-RC, Central District, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi in the matter of Mahesh Chand & Sons (HUF) v. Sb. Sudesh Kumar & Sh. Joginder (Since deceased) Th. his LR Smt Neelam Prabha, bearing RC ARC No.78081 of2016;

B. Award cost of the proceedings in favour of the Petitioners and against the Respondent; and



C. such other and further order(s) / direction(s) as this Hon'ble Court may deem just and proper in the facts and circumstances of this case.”

3. It is pointed out that possession of the subject premises has already been taken by the respondent.
4. In view of the same, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave of this Court to withdraw the present petition.
5. Leave granted.
6. The present petition is dismissed as withdrawn and disposed of accordingly.
7. Needless to say, the respondent will be at liberty to take appropriate steps with respect to recovery of arrears, if any.

AMIT SHARMA, J

FEBRUARY 12, 2026/nk/db