



\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.REV.P.(MAT.) 74/2024, CRL.M.A. 32388/2024

VIKAS RATHI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Saurabh Shokeen, Adv.
(Through VC)

versus

POOJA RATHI

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Mishika Singh, Adv.
(DHCLSC)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

%

20.05.2026

1. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that, despite specific order dated 04.05.2026, petitioner has only paid a sum of Rs.11,000/- out of Rs.15,000/- to the respondent.
2. This Court has heard learned counsel for the petitioner. He has only addressed arguments on the basis of either some information(s) and/ or few fresh documents which were, *admittedly*, never forming a part of the record before the learned Trial Court at any time till the passing of the impugned order.
3. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty to seek appropriate remedies in accordance with law.
4. As such, heard and allowed, with liberty as prayed for.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

MAY 20, 2026/Ab