



\$~2

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 14897/2025**

**NAVENDU AGRAWAL**

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vivek K. Tandon, Ms. Mamta Tandon, Ms. Lovie Bagga, Ms. Pooja Giri & Ms. Laxmi, Advocates.

versus

**MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI  
& ORS.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Harshit Chopra, Standing Counsel with Ms. Swati Tiwari & Mr. Khushal Chand Aggarwal, Advocates for R-1 & 2.

Ms. Sweety Singh, Advocate for R-3.

Mr. Arjun Mahajan, Standing Counsel with Ms. Monica batra, Mr. Apoorv Upmanyu, Mr. Ravikant Kumar & Mr. Vishal Sejwan, Advocates for DDA.

Mr. Anupinder Jussal & Mr. Ujwal Sharma, Advocates for R-6,7 & 8.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL**

**ORDER**

**07.05.2026**

%

1. A reply has been filed on behalf of the private respondents.
- 1.1. However, the same is not on record.
- 1.2. Counsel shall take steps to have the same placed on record.
2. Status report has been filed on behalf of the respondent/MCD. Along with the status report, a speaking order dated 22<sup>nd</sup> December, 2025 has been



filed.

3. In the aforesaid speaking order, it has been stated that the construction of excess coverage/extension of flats on the common courtyard in the subject property had been carried out prior to the year 2007 and hence, is entitled to protection under the National Capital Territory (Special Provision) Act, 2007.

4. In light of the aforesaid, no direction with regard to the demolition of the said property can be passed by this Court and the petition is disposed of accordingly.

5. Mr. Vivek Tandon, counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, submits that the aforesaid findings in the speaking order that the construction was carried out prior to 2007 are not correct.

6. In view thereof, liberty is given to the petitioner to initiate appropriate remedies that may be available in law.

7. All rights and contentions of the owner/occupier/private respondents shall remain protected.

**AMIT BANSAL, J**

**MAY 7, 2026**

*at*