



\$~1(R)

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 9679/2005 & CM APPL. 12793/2009**

R.L.MORIA

.....Petitioner

Through: None.
versus

UOI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Pratima N. Lakra, CGSC with
Mr. Shailendra Kumar Mishra, Adv.
for R-1.
Ms. Santwana Agarwal and Ms.
Saumya Agarwal, Advs. for R-2.
Dr. Lalit Bhasin, Ms. Nina Gupta,
Ms. Vaisahli S. And Mr. Ajay Pratap
Singh, Advs. for R-3 & 4.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHAIL JAIN

ORDER

%

10.04.2026

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, *inter alia*, seeking release of the provident fund dues to the petitioner.
2. Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of Respondent Nos. 3 and 4 submits that the present writ petition is not maintainable in law. In support of this contention, reliance has been placed upon the judgments in **W.P. (C) 7908/2015 titled Satya Sagar & Anr. vs. Air India (AIESL)** ; **W.P. (C) 5621/2016 titled Capt. Mahinder Pal Singh Pujji vs. Air India & Ors.**, and **SLP (C) Nos. 23441–23444 of 2022 titled as Mr R.S Reddy Madireddy and Anr. Etc. vs Union Of India & Ors Etc.** It is contended that, pursuant to the disinvestment and subsequent takeover of Air India by Tata Industries, Air



India has become a private entity; consequently, the present writ petition is not maintainable.

3. Despite service, none appears on behalf of the Petitioner. It appears that the Petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the present matter.

4. In view of the above, and for want of prosecution, the writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

SHAIL JAIN, J

APRIL 10, 2026/kp/rm