



\$~5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 3665/2025**

MOHAMMAD KHALID

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sameer Chandra, Mr. Wiqar Ahmed, Mr. Shubham Parashar, Mr. Aryan Tomar & Mr. Fahad Zio, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Manjeet Arya, APP with SI Vivek Tomar, PS: Sunlight Colony, New Delhi.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

% **25.02.2026**

CRL.M.A. 28702/2025 (for exemption)

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

BAIL APPLN. 3665/2025

3. The applicant seeks anticipatory bail in connection with FIR No. 268/2024 dated 28.08.2024, registered at Police Station Sunlight Colony, District South East, Delhi, under Sections 420/34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, and Sections 10/24 of the Emigration Act, 1983.
4. The complaint of the complainant, Mr. Satyam Kumar, concerns an arrangement by which the accused, namely Mohammad Khalid (i.e., the applicant herein) and Anjali, allegedly undertook to provide him with a



work visa for Jordan. It is alleged that after taking his passport and receiving money from him, they provided him with a fake ticket and a fake visa, and thereafter became untraceable.

5. The applicant was granted interim protection by an interim order of this Court dated 23.09.2025.

6. Ms. Manjeet Arya, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, submits that the applicant has joined the investigation. There is no allegation of non-cooperation against him.

7. In the meanwhile, Mr. Sameer Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant, states that the applicant and co-accused Anjali have entered into a settlement with the complainant. A copy of the Memorandum of Understanding dated 21.02.2026 is handed up in Court and taken on record.

8. The complainant is present in person. He states that he wishes to appear in person and does not seek the assistance of counsel.

9. The complainant is identified by the Investigating Officer [“IO”], and his statement is recorded separately, confirming the factum of settlement. He states that he has no objection to the applicant being granted anticipatory bail.

10. In view of the above, the application is disposed of with the direction that, in the event of arrest in connection with the aforesaid FIR, the applicant shall be released on bail upon furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- with one surety of the like amount, to the satisfaction of the IO/Duty Magistrate, and subject to the following conditions:

a. The applicant will report to the IO on each and every occasion, as



required by the IO.

- b. The applicant will furnish his mobile number to the IO, and shall keep the said mobile phone running and always switched on. The mobile number shall not be changed or switched off without prior intimation to the IO.
- c. The applicant will give his residential address to the IO, and will not change his residential address without prior intimation to the IO.
- d. The applicant shall not commit any offence during pendency of these proceedings.

12. The bail application stands disposed of in the above terms.

13. It is clarified that the observations made herein are solely for the purpose of adjudication of the present bail application, and shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case, nor shall they prejudice the rights and contentions of the parties at any stage of the proceedings.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

FEBRUARY 25, 2026

'Sh/JM'