



2026:DHC:1263



covered with blanket concealed under a table. Further investigation revealed that the deceased had been strangled to death with the help of a computer cord. After further investigation, the investigator arrived at the doorstep of the accused persons namely Santosh (*the present accused/applicant*) and Vicky, who according to the investigator got recovered some sound recording equipments of the deceased. According to the prosecution, motive to kill was money dispute on account of recording of some songs.

3. Learned counsel for accused/applicant contends that he is in custody since June 2020 but trial has not been concluded till date. It is also contended that there is no cogent evidence on the basis whereof the accused/applicant is being detained. Learned counsel for accused/applicant has also produced before me the testimony of PW7 Subhash Ram, who allegedly witnessed the recovery of sound equipment of the deceased.

4. On the other hand, learned APP assisted by IO/Inspector Baljeet Singh strongly opposes bail application. It is contended that there is a clear circumstantial evidence in the form of CCTV footage which captures the accused persons in the lane of the house of the deceased at 03:30am of 09.06.2020. It is also submitted by learned prosecutor that the auto driver, whose services were availed by the accused persons in taking away the sound equipment also identified the accused/applicant in the CCTV footage.

5. So far as the CCTV footage is concerned, in the status report dated 22.03.2025, prosecution has provided 13 links of google drive. But none of

BAIL APPLN. 3806/2024

Page 2 of 4 pages

GIRISH
KATHPALIA

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
c=IN, email=girishkathpalia@highcourt.delhi.gov.in,
serialNumber=d8a6756451e45d765d15570996640880b0d0e
a0404c4b7908f08912204, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Date: 2026.02.13 17:16:24 +05'00'

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
By: NEETU N NAIR
Signing Date: 13.02.2026
17:22:57



those links clearly captures face of either of the accused persons. Merely because in police interrogation, a witness states that he identifies the accused persons in the CCTV footage, the court cannot believe that without seeing the footage itself. In the footage played in court, the only thing visible is the back of two persons walking across the lane and a TSR entering the lane and stopping outside a gate, but neither faces of any of those persons is visible nor the TSR number is visible, nor even the lane depicted in the footage is identifiable.

6. Further, as mentioned above, PW7 Subhash Ram, who allegedly witnessed the recovery of sound equipment of the deceased did not support prosecution in his testimony. Rather, even PW8 Vijay Mehto, the alleged auto driver did not support prosecution.

7. In response to a specific query, it is stated by the IO that the computer cord allegedly recovered as a weapon of offence was not shown to the doctor for opinion as regards tallying the same with the ligature marks found on the deceased. Rather, the post-mortem report, which also is relied upon by prosecution states the time of death as one week prior to 15.06.2020, which *prima facie*, does not explain the alleged killing of midnight dated 09.06.2020.

8. Of course on all these aspects, trial court shall arrive at independent findings after conclusion of trial. But for present purposes, I do not find any sound reason to allow further detention of the accused/applicant.

BAIL APPLN. 3806/2024

Page 3 of 4 pages



2026:DHC:1263



9. Therefore, the bail application is allowed and accused/applicant is directed to be released on bail subject to his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Trial Court.

10. A copy of this order be immediately transmitted to the concerned Jail Superintendent for informing the accused/applicant.

**GIRISH
KATHPALIA**

Digitally signed by GIRISH KATHPALIA
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
2.5.4.20=8401dd889b27a77b2f65ffffe4a
fec45569af3962c6fb4835d435f97626ca
cca, ou=HIGH COURT OF DELHI,CID -
7047638, postalCode=110003, st=Delhi,
serialNumber=d3e86796451ec45c07b5
d15570996b40f80cbd2eee60402c48796
5ff801e26fa, cn=GIRISH KATHPALIA
Date: 2026.02.13 17:16:52 -08'00'

**GIRISH KATHPALIA
(JUDGE)**

FEBRUARY 13, 2026/ry