



\$~64

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 16287/2006

M/S BRAMCO INDIA (P) LTD

.....Petitioner

Through: Appearance not given

versus

C.I.T –I

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Shlok Chandra, SSC, Ms. Naincy Jain and Ms. Madhavi Shukla, JSCs and Mr. Udit Dad, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH MEHTA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR

ORDER

%

20.01.2026

1. Ms. Naincy Jain, learned counsel for respondent-Income Tax Department submits that the petition has been rendered infructuous on account of subsequent development.
2. The petition is dismissed accordingly.
3. The Registry is directed to send a copy for this order to the petitioner at his address through registered post acknowledgement due.
4. It shall be open to the petitioner to apply for revival of the petition, if any of its grievance still subsists.

DINESH MEHTA, J

VINOD KUMAR, J

JANUARY 20, 2026/ss