



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 14592/2025, CM APPL. 59850/2025 & CM APPL. 59851/2025**

SH. MANOJ KUMAR AND ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Onkar Roy and Mr. Yash Goyal,
Advocates.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Niraj Kumar and Mr. Chaitanya
Kumar, Advocates for R-1.

Mr. R.K. Dhawan, Ms. Nisha
Dhawan, Mr. Pawan Karan Deo and
Mr. V.K. Teng, Advocates for R-2.

Mr. Roshan Lal Goel and Ms. Anju
Gupta, Advocates for R-3.

Mr. Anand Yadav, Ms. Anita Tomar
and Mr. Pradyumn Rao, Advocates
for R-4 to R-6.

Mr. Manashwy Jhan, Advocate for
R-7 (through VC)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

28.04.2026

%

1. The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:-

- i. Issue an appropriate writ to respondent no. 2 & 3 to remove the illegal and unauthorized construction on the sight property more precisely marked as red site plan.
- ii. Issue appropriate direction to respondent no. 1 & 2 to take the possession of unauthorized land as marked red in site plan and



develop the same as park for the public purposes or nay other public purposes as deems fit.

- iii. Issue appropriate direction respondent no.1 to take stringent action against respondent no 4, 5 and 6 for falling hundreds of mature trees thereby causing irreparable damage to the environment and also recover the cost of removing of illegal construction from respondent no. 4, 5 and 6.
- iv. Issue such other appropriate writ, order or direction as may be considered fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.

2. In the status report filed on behalf of respondent no.3/ MCD, it has been stated that the subject area falls within the jurisdiction of DDA.

3. In the status report filed on behalf of respondent no.2/ DDA, it has been stated that the present writ petition has become infructuous in view of the demolition programme that was carried out by DDA on 16th September, 2025.

4. Mr. Anand Yadav, counsel appearing on behalf of respondents no.4 to 6 submits that it has wrongly been stated in paragraph 1 of the writ petition that properties bearing *Khasra Nos. 14/4/3, 14/4, and 14/7*, situated in *Village Pandwala Kalan, District South-West, Delhi-110043* are Gram Sabha lands. He submits that the said properties are private lands.

5. Mr. Yadav further submits that petitioner no.1 has filed the present writ petition on behalf of the remaining petitioners in order to extort money from respondents no.4 to 6.

6. Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that he is not receiving instructions from the petitioners.

7. In these circumstances, on the basis of the affidavit filed by respondent no.2/ DDA and submissions made by the counsel for DDA, the present writ petition is closed.



8. Pending applications also stand disposed of.

AMIT BANSAL, J

APRIL 28, 2026

Vivek/-