



\$~65

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 14255/2024**

ADEEBA ANSARI & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Hitain Bajaj, Adv.

versus

JAMIA MILLIA ISLAMIA & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. K K Mishra, ASC for JMI

Mr. T. Singhdev, Mr. Abhijit Chakravarty, Adv.
for R3

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

%

19.01.2026

CM APPL. 3236/2026

1. This is an application filed under Section 151 of the CPC, 1908 seeking disposal of the writ petition in view of the Order dated 23.12.2025, passed in W.P.(C) 15580/2024.

2. For the reasons stated in the application, issue notice.

3. Mr. Mishra, learned ASC, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent Nos. 1 and 2, Mr. Singhdev, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No. 3, and states that the matter is covered.

4. For the said reasons, the petition is allowed in terms of the following Order.

W.P.(C) 14255/2024

5. This is a writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following relief:-

“a. Issue a writ in the nature of mandamus of any other suitable writ directing the Respondents to allow the



*Petitioners to appear in the supplementary exams of BDS
2nd year to be conducted in October/November 2024...”*

6. This Order is being passed in view of a similar order dated 23.12.2025 passed in W.P.(C) 15580/2024, covering the present issue. Based upon the said order and using the same reasons and expressions, this order is being passed.

7. Mr. Mishra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2/ Jamia Millia Islamia, submits that an amicable solution in the interest of the petitioner has been found in the matter, as a one-time exceptional measure and in this regard he also hands over an e-mail dated 23.12.2025, wherein it is stated that the Competent Authority of the University has decided to take a lenient view in the matter in accordance with norms, regulations and Ordinances of the University. It is decided by the concerned authorities that the shortage of attendance of the petitioner in 2nd year will be condoned provided the petitioner No. 1 submits an undertaking that her attendance shall not fall short for the remaining duration of the course and the eligible petitioner will complete her clinical postings as per schedule prepared by the faculty, preferably from January, 2026, and will also undertake theory classes simultaneously, wherein the former will be conducted in the morning and the latter in the evening. Notably, the petitioner herein has already cleared her third year examinations.

8. Mr. Bajaj, learned counsel for the Petitioners, on instructions, submits that Petitioner No. 1 is agreeable to the proposed arrangement. However, insofar as Petitioner No. 2 is concerned, she does not press this writ petition.

9. In light of the amicable resolution of the issues arising in this writ petition between the parties, the proposal submitted by the respondents is



taken on record and accepted. The petitioner will furnish an undertaking to the University to abide by the conditions enumerated in the e-mail dated 23.12.2025. An affidavit of undertaking will also be filed before this Court within three weeks from today with advance copy to the counsel for the University, except the exam condition as the petitioner No. 1 has already cleared her third year examination.

10. At this stage, Mr. Bajaj, submits that a direction be issued to the University to consider the plea of the petitioner to adjust the fees paid for 2nd Year in the next year as the same has been paid twice over.

11. It is open to the Petitioners to give representation on this aspect to the University and as and when the representation is received, the same shall be considered by the University, compassionately and in accordance with law.

12. This Court appreciates the fair stand taken by the University and its counsel in bringing about an amicable resolution in the matter, which has saved the career of the petitioner. Needless to state the stand taken by the University is in the peculiar facts of this case and as a one-time exceptional measure, the same will not be treated as a precedent in any other case.

13. For the said reasons, the writ petition is disposed of along with pending applications (if any).

JASMEET SINGH, J

JANUARY 19, 2026/AS