



\$~37

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 885/2024, I.A. 41744/2024 & I.A. 45920/2024**

RADICO KHAITAN LTD

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Anirudh Bakhru, Ms. Ishani Chandra, Ms. Srijan Uppal, Mr. Abhishek Bhati, Mr. Abhigyan Pandey and Mr. Siddhant Sekri, Advocates.

versus

SUPERIOR INDUSTRIES LTD.

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Bhuvneshwar Tyagi, Advocate.


CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH

ORDER

18.05.2026

%

1. This suit is instituted on behalf of the Plaintiff *inter alia* seeking a decree of permanent injunction restraining the Defendant and all others acting on its behalf from manufacturing, selling, offering for sale, exporting, advertising, marketing and/or in any manner using, directly or indirectly, in relation to any alcoholic beverages/country liquor and/or any allied and cognate goods under the impugned marks  /JHOOMAR and



and/or any other identical/deceptively similar marks to



Plaintiff's झूम /JHOOM marks amounting to infringement of Plaintiff's registered mark in Class 33 as also passing off.

2. During the pendency of the suit, parties were referred for mediation before the Delhi High Court Mediation and Conciliation Centre, where they have amicably settled their *inter se* disputes and executed a Settlement Agreement dated 17.03.2026, incorporating the terms of the settlement. Copy of the Settlement Agreement has been taken on record. As per the Settlement Agreement, Defendant has agreed to suffer permanent injunction and Plaintiff has agreed to give up reliefs of damages, delivery up and rendition of accounts.

3. Court has perused the terms of the settlement and finds the same to be lawful.

4. Accordingly, the suit is decreed in favour of the Plaintiff and against the Defendant in terms of paragraph 55(i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) of the plaint based on the settlement between the parties, terms of which shall form a part of the decree and bind the parties thereto.

5. Registry is directed to draw up the decree sheet.

6. Suit is disposed of along with the pending applications.

7. Plaintiff is held entitled to refund of entire court fees in accordance with Court Fees Act, 1870.

JYOTI SINGH, J

MAY 18, 2026/RW