



\$~74-75

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 13395/2024 & CM APPL. 9226/2026

ANAM HABIB & ORS.Petitioner

Through: Adv Lokesh Chopra and Adv Farrukh
Tabish

versus

UNIVERSITY OF DELHI & ORS.Respondent

Through: Mr. Mohinder JS Rupal, Mr. Hardik
Rupal, Ms. Tripta Sharma Advs for DU
Mr. Anubhav Gupta, PC (CIVIL)/GNCTD, Mr
Kartik Sharma, Advocate for R6
Mr Rajesh Tyagi, Ms Afsha Pracha, Mr Farrukh
Jamal, Advs. for R7

75

+ W.P.(C) 1249/2025 & CM APPL. 8963/2026

DR RAMSHA ANWAR & ORS.Petitioner

Through: Mr. Shoaib Khan, Mr. Abdul Karim
Ansari, Mihir Suneja Advs.

versus

A AND U TIBBIA COLLEGE AND HOSPITAL & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Anubhav Gupta, PC
(CIVIL)/GNCTD, Mr Kartik Sharma, Advocate
for R1-2

Mr. Mohinder JS Rupal, Mr. Hardik Rupal, Ms.
Tripta Sharma Advs for DU
Mr Farrukh Jamal Adv for R7

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

%

11.02.2026

1. These are writ petitions filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking, amongst others, directions to the respondents to accept the respective thesis of the petitioners under concerned subject supervisors i.e., Dr. Shahana Ayyub and Dr. Subuhi Irshad and to



forward the same to JBRS, DU for final approval; and to waive off the fine imposed on the petitioners for the late submission of their thesis and allow them to appear for final examinations.

2. The brief facts of the case are that the petitioners are currently enrolled in their post-graduate course in Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Ilmul Qabalat WA Amraze Niswan Ayurveda and Unani Tibbia College and Hospital, Karol Bagh, New Delhi.
3. The petitioners submit that they are aggrieved by the conduct of respondent No. 7, Dr. Aysha Raza, and state that they, along with other postgraduate scholars, had lodged complaints against her; however, respondent No. 7 contends that it was the petitioners who misbehaved with her. The present petition has been filed on account of her refusal to sign the petitioners' theses, which were subsequently signed by the Co-Supervisor and the Principal/HOD and submitted to respondent No. 1, University of Delhi, but were not accepted on the ground that the Supervisor's signature was mandatory.
4. After some arguments, it is agreed between the petitioners and the respondent No.7 that since the respondent No.7 had supervised the petitioners for considerable period of time, the respondent No.7 shall counter-sign the thesis and the college will send it to the University immediately.
5. On signing of the theses, the respondent No.1 shall process them and conduct the exams for the petitioners in accordance with law expeditiously and within a period of 4 weeks from today.
6. Since the submission of thesis was due to the allegations and counter-allegations and not on account of delay attributable to any parties, the



late fee imposed by the University is hereby set aside.

7. The matter has been settled amicably and the parties shall show adequate respect towards each other.
8. Since the matter has been settled amicably, the respondent college assures that there will be no action against either of the parties.
9. The petitions are disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

FEBRUARY 11, 2026 / (MS)

JASMEET SINGH, J