



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 3379/2025**

VASANT @ NISHU

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. N.S. Dalal, Mr. Sourabh Tyagi,
Ms. Nidhi Dalal, Mr. Alok Kumar,
Ms. Rachana Dalal, Mr. Karan Mann
and Ms. Urvashi Sharma, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE (GNCT OF DELHI)

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Shubhi Gupta, APP for the State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

10.02.2026

%

By way of the present petition filed under section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.177/2024 dated 19.03.2024 registered under sections 420/468/471/120B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC') at P.S.: Swaroop Nagar, Delhi. Consequent upon completion of investigation, offences under sections 448/467/201 of the IPC have been added *vidé* chargesheet dated 10.11.2024.

2. Notice on this petition was issued on 03.09.2025.
3. Status Report dated 14.11.2025 has been filed.
4. Complainant has also filed a reply dated 15.01.2026 to the present petition.



5. Nominal Roll dated 27.01.2026 has been received from the Jail Superintendent. It shows that the petitioner has undergone more than 01 year and 03 months of custody as of 26.01.2026; that his jail conduct has been 'satisfactory'; and though he has 03 other criminal involvements, he is on bail in all those cases.
6. Investigation in the matter is complete; and chargesheet dated 10.11.2024 has been filed. However, Ms. Gupta, learned APP submits that since the FSL report is awaited, the Investigating Officer may file a supplementary chargesheet before the learned trial court.
7. The petitioner is facing trial, which is scheduled next before the learned trial court for recording of prosecution evidence on 20.02.2026.
8. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is alleged to be the person, in whose favour the subject property was transferred by way of the 'customary' set of documents comprising a general power of attorney, an agreement to sell and other ancillary documents executed by co-accused Sanjay Kumar, who (latter) has already been admitted to regular bail by this court.
9. All other things apart, it is submitted that no purpose is being served by detaining the petitioner in custody any longer, since the chargesheet has already been filed.
10. The court has also heard Ms. Gupta, learned APP appearing for the State; as well as the learned counsel appearing for the complainant, who have contested the bail, citing the seriousness of the offence and the grievance of the complainant.



11. Though Ms. Gupta submits, that the petitioner has several other criminal involvements, including the 03 cases mentioned in the nominal roll and additional 03 cases as recorded in the SCRB report dated 28.01.2026 (in addition to the present case), however the petitioner is stated to be on bail in those cases; and in one of the cases he has served his sentence.
12. Upon a conspectus of the submissions made, the considerations that weigh with the court *at this stage*, are the following:
 - 12.1. Investigation in the matter is complete; chargesheet dated 10.11.2024 has been filed; and the petitioner is facing trial, which is now at the stage of recording prosecution evidence.
 - 12.2. Though, the petitioner appears to have other criminal involvements, he is on bail in all the pending cases.
 - 12.3. In the present case, the petitioner has suffered judicial custody of more than 01 year and 03 months as of 26.01.2026.
 - 12.4. No purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner in custody any longer, which custody may now amount to pre-conviction punishment.
13. In view of the above, this court is inclined to admit the petitioner – **Vasant @ Nishu s/o Kishan Lal Verma** to *regular bail*, subject to the following conditions:
 - 13.1. The petitioner shall furnish a personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rs. Fifty Thousand Only) with 01 surety in the like amount from a family member, to the satisfaction of the learned trial court;



- 13.2. The petitioner shall furnish to the Investigating Officer/S.H.O a cellphone number on which the petitioner may be contacted at any time and shall ensure that the number is kept active and switched-on at all times;
- 13.3. If the petitioner has a passport, he shall surrender the same to the learned trial court and shall not travel out of the country without prior permission of the learned trial court;
- 13.4. The petitioner shall not contact, nor visit, nor offer any inducement, threat or promise to any of the prosecution witnesses or other persons acquainted with the facts of case. The petitioner shall not tamper with evidence nor otherwise indulge in any act or omission that is unlawful or that would prejudice the proceedings in the pending trial; and
- 13.5. In case of any change in his residential address/contact details, the petitioner shall promptly inform the I.O. in writing.
14. Since the petitioner is facing trial and would therefore be appearing before the learned trial court from time-to-time, it is not considered necessary to impose a reporting requirement as a condition of regular bail.
15. The petition is disposed-of in the above terms.
16. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed-of.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

FEBRUARY 10, 2026/ak