



\$~27, 28, 29 & 30

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 13512/2025

+ W.P.(C) 13514/2025

+ W.P.(C) 13552/2025

+ W.P.(C) 13563/2025

**VARDHMAN PROPERTIES LTD**

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Saksham Singhal and Mr. Ram  
Krishna Rao, Advs.

versus

**DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX**

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Ruchir Bhatia SSC.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH MEHTA**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR**

**ORDER**

% **05.02.2026**

1. Mr. Ruchir Bhatia, learned Senior Standing Counsel for the respondent-Department at the outset submitted that almost entire amount due to the petitioner has been refunded.
2. At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that there is some computational error in the payment made.
3. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, we dispose of these petitions while allowing the petitioner to file a representation before the Assessing Officer, who shall consider the same and do the needful in



accordance with law, as early as possible preferably within the period of three months of receiving the representation.

**DINESH MEHTA, J.**

**VINOD KUMAR, J.**

**FEBRUARY 5, 2026/dd**