



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 2224/2012**

MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS

.....Petitioner

Through: Appearance not given.

versus

AMAR BAHADUR

.....Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

19.03.2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner on instructions and without prejudice submits that if the respondent appears, his OMR sheet along with the question paper can be allowed to be inspected. He, therefore, submits that the instant petition can be disposed of with the said direction.
2. It be noted that none is appearing for the respondent and there is no reason to keep this petition pending, which essentially filed in the year 2012 and the order passed by the Central Information Commission ('CIC') was stayed *vide* order dated 18.04.2012.
3. Hence, without going into the larger controversy and the legal issue, the Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the instant petition with a direction to the petitioner to allow the inspection of the OMR sheet of the private respondent and the question paper if the respondent approaches the petitioner.



4. If the grievance of the respondent is not fully mitigated, he shall be at liberty to take appropriate recourse in accordance with law including seeking for restoration of the instant petition.
5. With the aforesaid observations, the instant petition stands disposed of.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J

MARCH 19, 2026

Nc