



\$~6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 13248/2025 & CM APPL. 54374/2025**

SUMEET CHADHA & ANR.Petitioners

Through: **Mr. Taksh Suri, Advocate.**

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI & ORS.Respondents

Through: **Mr. Pritish Sabharwal and Ms. Shilp Ohri, Advocates for MCD.**

Mr. Anuj Berry, Ms. Gauri Pasricha and Mr. Parimal Kashyap, Advocates for R-2.

Ms. Deeksha L. Kakar, Mr. Rashneet Singh and Ms. Sana Parveen, Advocates for R-4.

Mr. Raghvendra Upadhyay, Ms. Purnima Jain, Mr. Swaraj Maurya and Mr. Ankit Jaiswal, Advocates for GNCTD.

Mr. Kshitij Chhabra, Advocate for R-6 and R-7.

Mr. M.K. Madan, Advocate for R-8 along with R-8.

Mr. Gaganmeet Singh Sachdeva, Mr. Harshpreet Singh Chadha and Mr. Hridyesh Kanna, Advocates for DDA.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

02.02.2026

%

1. A fresh status report has been filed on behalf of respondent/MCD in terms of the order passed by this Court on 18th November, 2025.



- 1.1 The same is not on record.
- 1.2 A hard copy of the same has been handed over in Court and the same is taken on record.
2. In terms of the aforesaid status report, it is stated that the property has been inspected on 30th January, 2026 and the commercial activity has been stopped. It is further stated that misuse charges have been levied by the Department on the respondent no.8, which have been duly paid.
3. Counsel for the petitioners is satisfied with the action taken by the respondents.
4. In view thereof, the present writ petition stands disposed of.
5. All rights, contentions and legal remedies of the owner/occupier shall remain protected.

AMIT BANSAL, J

FEBRUARY 2, 2026

Vivek/-