



\$~134

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

% ***Date of Decision: 19.05.2026***

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1298/2025**

VBM MEDIZINTEHNIK GMBH

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Nancy Roy, Ms. Ananya Chug
and Ms. Aastha Kakkar, Advocates.

versus

GEETAN LUTHRA

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Bitika Sharma, Ms. Nandini
Choudhary and Ms. Mrinalini G.,
Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

SACHIN DATTA, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner alleging wilful disobedience of the decree dated 13.02.2025. The operative directions therein are as under:

7. The defendant is held bound by the statement made before this Court.

Accordingly, the following directions are issued:

I. The defendant shall delete the domain name <http://vbmmedical.com>.

II. The defendant shall delete the E-mail i.e., vbmmedical@gmail.com.

III. The defendant shall give up its trademark registration bearing no. 3072611 in Class 10.

IV. The requisite steps, as aforesaid, shall be taken by the defendant, in a time bound manner, preferably, within a period of three months.

8. An affidavit of compliance shall be filed by the defendants within a period of three months, with advance copy to the learned counsel appearing for the plaintiff.



2. It transpires that the Judgment Debtor (JD) did not file the affidavit within the time granted *vide* paragraph 8 of the judgment/decreed dated 13.02.2025.
3. It also transpires that although the remaining directions were belatedly complied with by the respondent/JD, the directions regarding deletion of the domain name, i.e., <http://vbmmedical.com>, was complied with only on 24.11.2025. The same has been stated by the respondent/JD in paragraph 6 of the affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent/JD on 15.05.2026.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that prejudice has been caused to the petitioner on account of the delayed compliance, inasmuch as, due to the omission on the part of the respondent/JD to take timely steps, the domain name could not be purchased by the petitioner/Decree Holder (DH) and it transpires that the domain name is now being reflected as being 'on sale' at a substantial price, on online platforms.
5. The respondent/JD is present in Court. He expresses regret for the delayed compliance with the directions contained in the decree dated 13.02.2025. He submits that the same was inadvertent.
6. After some hearing, apart from tendering an unconditional apology for the aforesaid lapse, the respondent agrees to pay a sum of Rs.1,75,000/- to the petitioner/DH as compensation. The same is acceded to by learned counsel for the petitioner/DH, who agrees to accept the said payment in full and final settlement of all outstanding claims of the petitioner against the respondent.
7. In the circumstances, the petition stands disposed of in the above terms; let the aforesaid amount of Rs.1,75,000/- be paid to the petitioner/DH



2026:DHC:4624



within a period of four weeks from today.

8. It is agreed that any non-compliance with, or delay in making, the aforesaid payment shall be construed as a wilful and egregious breach of an undertaking given to this Court, entailing severe action against the respondent/JD under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

SACHIN DATTA, J

MAY 19, 2026/at/sv