



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **ARB.P. 1282/2025**

VIJYANT AGARWAL & ANR.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Himanshu Swami, Adv.

versus

M/S BPTP LTD.Respondent

Through: Mr. Kaushik Poddar and Mr.
Akash Dalal, Adv.

CORAM:

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN
SHANKAR**

ORDER

%

01.04.2026

1. The present Petition, filed under Section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 [“Act”], seeks appointment of an Arbitrator for adjudication of the disputes *inter se* the parties.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the parties are *ad idem* that an Appeal under Section 37 of the Act, being FAO (COMM) 257/2025, had been preferred by the Respondent herein, which came to be decided by the Hon’ble Division Bench of this Court *vide* Judgement dated 13.03.2026 [“said Judgement”].
3. Learned counsel for the parties submit that by way of the said Judgement, the Hon’ble Division Bench has directed for appointment of an Arbitrator for *de novo* adjudication of disputes between the parties and therefore, the present Petition has become infructuous.
4. Accordingly, the present Petition stands dismissed as being infructuous.

HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR, J.

APRIL 01, 2026/ v/dj