



\$~60

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 12529/2025

RAVI KUMAR SAHU

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. P. Sureshan, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr Farman Ali, CGSC, Ms
Usha Jamnal, Insp Sanjay, Insp
Yeshpal for UOI.
Mr. Mimansak Bhardwaj, Sr.
Panel Counsel with Mr.
Yashpal, Inspector, CISF.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

26.05.2026

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 seeking
"the following prayers: -

"a) Pass a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to grant the additional 5% HRA to the petitioner from July 2024 till the date of sanction of full HRA at his present posting unit, CISF ASG, Ahmedabad, at Ahmedabad Airport, as he was wrongfully treated as a barrack member and paid reduced HRA.

b) Direct the respondents to refund the mess charges wrongfully and illegally deducted from the petitioner's salary from May 2025 till the date of such stoppage, despite the fact that he never availed any mess facility.

c) Direct the respondents to desist from initiating or pursuing any disciplinary action against the petitioner for residing outside the unit in rented accommodation, when no direction was ever issued to stay in barracks, particularly as the respondents themselves failed to provide family accommodation.

d) Direct the Director General, CISF, to issue orders granting full HRA to all CISF personnel with families, in



the event the authorities are unable to provide family accommodation, irrespective of their position in the 45% seniority list.

e) Direct the respondents to recognize the petitioner's entitlement to full HRA as a family member and to grant the same wherever family accommodation is not provided during all future postings in the CISF, and consequently, direct the authorities to grant the admissible TA and DA on his transfer to other units.

f) Direct the respondents to pay the petitioner the entire arrears arising out of prayers (a) and (b), along with interest at the rate of 18% per annum from the date the amounts became due and payable till the date of its payment.

g) To direct the respondent to pay costs of this litigation.

h) Any other further order/relief which is Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case, may also be passed in favour of the petitioner and as against the respondent."

2. Learned counsel representing the parties admit that the present matter is squarely covered by an order passed by this Court on 21.05.2026 in **W.P.(C) 8154/2024** titled as **Ashish Sharma v. Union of India and Ors.** and other connected matters, which reads as under:

“

1. This is batch of 110 cases wherein identical prayers have been made. The Petitioners in all the writ petitions are serving in Central Industrial Security Force. They are posted in different cities. They pray for issuance of a Writ in the nature of Mandamus thereby directing the Respondents to grant additional 5% HRA from the respective dates as given in the chart which shall form part of this order as mark 'C-1'.

2. In fact, the Petitioners claim that the matter in issue is squarely covered in their favour by a judgment passed by the Division Bench of this Court in the case of **Jaspal Singh Mann v. Union of India & Ors. : 2009 ILR 1 Delhi 165.**

3. Thereafter, there has been a series of judgments passed by this Court to the same effect.

4. By order dated 08.02.2024, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Union of India & Ors. v. Paramisivan**



M. : Civil Appeal No. 4967/2023, has upheld the judgment passed by this Court in the case of **Jaspal Singh Mann v. Union of India & Ors.** (supra).

5. Learned counsel representing the Respondents submits that in view of Rule 61 of Central Industrial Security Force Rules, 2001 read with Office Memorandum dated 31.07.2017, the Petitioners are not entitled to additional 5% HRA.

6. It is evident that this objection was considered by Division Bench of this Court in the case of **Jaspal Singh Mann v. Union of India & Ors.** (supra) and other judgments. Hence, it is not open to the Respondents to take this objection once again.

7. In view of the aforesaid, the present writ petitions are allowed in terms of judgment passed by Division Bench of this Court in the case of **Jaspal Singh Mann v. Union of India & Ors.** (supra).

8. The Respondents are directed to release the amount payable to the Petitioners within a period of next 03 months.

9. A photocopy of the Order passed today be kept in the connected matters.”

3. The Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

MAY 26, 2026

“SK”