



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **FAO(OS) 91/2025 & CM APPL. 51440/2025**
RASHMI BAHRIAppellant
Through: Mr. Tanmay Mehta, Adv.

versus

SUNIL SACHDEVA & ORSRespondents
Through: Mr. Rajesh Ranjan, Mr. Attin Shankar
Rastogi and Mr. Adil Vasudeva, Adv.
for R-1.
Mr. Aditya Mittal, Adv. for R-2.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK CHAUDHARY
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RENU BHATNAGAR

ORDER

% **23.01.2026**

1. The present appeal has been filed under Order 43 Rule 1 of CPC read with Section 10 of the Delhi High Court Act, 1966 assailing the Order dated 15.07.2025 passed by the learned Single Judge of this Court in I.A. 26049/2023 of CS(OS) 865/2023.
2. The main grievance of the appellant is with regard to paragraph 7 of the order which reads as follows:

“7. On the next date of hearing, this Court will consider initiating perjury proceedings against the Plaintiff in view of the findings in the CFSL report.”
3. Admittedly, there are no perjury proceedings initiated as yet against the appellant. A cause of action to the appellant will only accrue after any adverse orders are passed against the appellant. At this stage, it is open for the appellant to raise all defences in case any such hearing takes place before the learned Single Judge.



4. Leaving all questions open to be raised by the parties before the learned Single Judge with regard to aforesaid paragraph 7, the appeal is disposed of.
5. Pending application also stands disposed of.

VIVEK CHAUDHARY, J

RENU BHATNAGAR, J

JANUARY 23, 2026/r/nc