



\$~8 to 10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ ITA 1171/2005

M/S SAWHNEY EXPORT HOUSEAppellant

Through: None.

versus

COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAXRespondent

Through: Mr. Vipul Agrawal, SSC, Ms.
Harshita Kotru, Mr. Gauraang
Ranjan, Advs.

9

+ ITA 1172/2005

M/S SAWHNEY EXPORT HOUSEAppellant

Through: None.

versus

COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAXRespondent

Through: Mr. Vipul Agrawal, SSC, Ms.
Harshita Kotru, Mr. Gauraang
Ranjan, Advs.

10

+ ITA 1175/2005

M/S SAWHNEY EXPORT HOUSEAppellant

Through: None.

versus

COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAXRespondent

Through: Mr. Vipul Agrawal, SSC, Ms.
Harshita Kotru, Mr. Gauraang
Ranjan, Advs.



CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH MEHTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR

ORDER
18.02.2026

%

1. Nobody appears on behalf of the appellant. Even on the previous date i.e. 17.01.2026, nobody appeared.
2. Even the Court notice issued to the appellant has returned with a note “unserved, no such firm/company/office exists at the given address”.
3. It is thus, apparent that the appellant has lost its interest in pursuing the present appeals.
4. The appeals are therefore dismissed for want of prosecution.

DINESH MEHTA, J.

VINOD KUMAR, J.

FEBRUARY 18, 2026/ck