



\$~72

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **ITA 1118/2005**  
**COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX** .....Appellant

Through: Mr. Sunil Agarwal, SSC

versus

**M/S DIWAKAR ENGINEERS LTD.** .....Respondent  
Through: Appearance not given

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH MEHTA**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR**

**ORDER**  
**03.02.2026**

%

1. On perusal of the record, particularly the assessment order dated 27.12.1993, we find that the assessee had filed a return showing a loss of Rs.1,37,77,000/- and after the addition made by the Assessing Officer the loss was reduced and the income was assessed at Rs.(-)7,59,463/-.
2. It is therefore clear that an expenditure of Rs.3,66,924.75/- and the loss of imported material of Rs.1,06,17,661/- was disallowed to be added to the income of the respondent-assessee and even thereafter, the income of the respondent-assessee remained Rs.(-)7,59,463/- a negative profit.
3. Such being a position, there cannot be any question of Tax effect of more than Rs.2 crores in the present appeal.
4. In light of CBDT Circular No.5/2024 dated 15.03.2024 read with Circular No. 9/2024 dated 17.09.2024, the appeal is thus, dismissed.



5. However, with a liberty to the appellant-department to move application for revival of the appeal in case it falls in the exceptions.

**DINESH MEHTA, J.**

**VINOD KUMAR, J.**

**FEBRUARY 3, 2026/sr**