



\$~80

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 12483/2024**

SHAHID AHMED FERROZIE AND ANRPetitioner

Through: Mr. Sumit Kumar Khatri, Advocate
(Thr. VC)

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORSRespondents

Through: Mr. Raghavendra Upadhyay, Panel
Counsel, GNCTD for R-1, 2 and 3
(Thr. VC).

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **23.02.2026**

CM APPL. 12167/2026 (EARLY HEARING)

1. For the reasons stated in the application, the prayer for early hearing is allowed. The petition is taken up for hearing today itself.
2. The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 12483/2024

3. The sole grievance of the petitioner relates to non-registration of the documents presented before the Sub-Registrar.
4. The order of refusal dated 23.07.2024 reflects that the Sub-Registrar did not find the recital of the said property to be clear, and, accordingly, the same was refused.
5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that with the same recital



various other documents have been registered by the respondent. He also places reliance on the decision passed by the Supreme Court in the case of ***K. Gopi vs. The Sub-Registrar & Ors.***¹

6. Under these circumstances, instead of keeping this matter pending, it would be appropriate to direct the Sub-Registrar to reconsider the order dated 23.07.2024 and to pass a fresh order after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. Let the Sub-Registrar to also consider the various decisions passed by this Court, including the decision passed by the Supreme Court in ***K. Gopi***.

7. Let the petitioner to appear before the concerned Sub-Registrar along with all necessary documents within a period of 30 days from today.

8. On doing so, let the concerned Sub-Registrar to take appropriate decision with due expedition.

9. With the aforesaid directions, the petition stands disposed of.

10. The date earlier fixed i.e.08.04.2026 stands cancelled.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J

FEBRUARY 23, 2026

aks/ap

¹ 2025 INSC 462