



\$~12 to 14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 16/2024**

BHARAT SEWAK SAMAJ

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr Arvind Kumar Gupta, Mr.
Abhiesumat Gupta, Mr. Ishan
Parashar, Advs.

versus

SUBHASISH PANDA

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Shobhana Takiar, Standing
Counsel with Ms. Chand Chopra, Mr.
Kuljeet Singh, Mr. Shivam Bansal,
Advs.

13

+ **W.P.(C) 4031/2018 & CM APPL. 15943/2018**

BHARAT SEWAK SAMAJ

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr Arvind Kumar Gupta, Mr. Abhiesumat Gupta,
Mr. Ishan Parashar, Advs.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Shobhana Takiar, Standing Counsel with Ms.
Chand Chopra, Mr. Kuljeet Singh, Mr. Shivam
Bansal, Advs.
Mr. Rajesh Gogna, Ms. Rebina Rai, Advs.

14

+ **W.P.(C) 4376/2018**

RAVI SHANKAR & ORS

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr Arvind Kumar Gupta, Mr. Abhiesumat Gupta,
Mr. Ishan Parashar, Advs.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Shobhana Takiar, Standing Counsel with Ms.
Chand Chopra, Mr. Kuljeet Singh, Mr. Shivam



Bansal, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

%

13.02.2026

CONT.CAS(C) 16/2024

1. This is a contempt petition filed under section 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for violation of the directions in order dated 27.09.2018 passed by this court in WP (C) NO. 403112018.
2. The order dated 27.09.2018 reads as under:

“As a last opportunity, the respondent No.5/Delhi Development Authority (for short 'DDA') is granted four weeks' time to file the counter affidavit with an advance copy to counsel for the petitioner, who may file rejoinder thereto, if any, before the next date of hearing.

Renotify on 06.02.2019.

In the meantime, the DDA shall maintain status quo in respect of the subject land falling in Khasra No.301 (8-04) and 493/302 (4-01), Village Ladha Sarai, New Delhi.”
3. Mr. Gupta, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the land in question is the private land of the petitioner and the respondent DDA has erected a wall and thereafter, there has been unauthorized encroachment on his land.
4. Ms. Takiar, learned standing counsel for DDA, on instructions states, that in view of the order dated 0.09.2012 of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the respondent is not seeking the ownership of the land falling



Khasra No.301(8-04) and 493/302 (4-01), Village Ladha Sarai, New Delhi.

5. In this view of the matter, the petitioner is at liberty to take appropriate steps to protect his land and the wall enclosing the land can be demolished by the petitioner.
6. The contempt petition is disposed of in aforesaid terms.

W.P.(C) 4031/2018 & W.P.(C) 4376/2018

7. In view of the order passed in CONT.CAS(C) 16/2024, the present petitions are disposed of with the liberty as granted in the said order.

JASMEET SINGH, J

FEBRUARY 13, 2026