$~80

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\# **CNR No. DLHC010550652026**

\+ CONT.CAS(C) 2100/2026

RAVINDER KUMAR .....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Jatin Kumar, Ms. Sonali, Ms. Tripti and Mr. Jitesh Kumar, Advs.

versus

DEEPIKA .....Respondent

Through: Ms. Avni Singh (Panel Counsel for GNCTD) along with Ms. Sanskriti Nautiyal, Adv.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

O R D E R

% 19.11.2026

1. The present Petition has been filed under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India to initiate the Contempt Proceedings against the Respondent for wilful disobedience of the Order dated 29.07.2026 passed by the Family Court, Saket Courts, New Delhi in GP No. 43/2025.

2. It is submitted that *vide* Order dated 29.07.2026, visitation rights have been granted to the Petitioner- Father to meet the minor child (aged about 11 years) twice a month i.e. on First and Third Saturday in the Children Room, Saket Courts.

3. It is submitted that neither the Order dated 29.07.2026 has been challenged, stayed or modified despite with the Respondent has failed to produce the child for visitation, in terms of the Order and has also not inform the Petitioner or the Court for any reason for non-production of the child.

4. Counsel for the Petitioner submits that after the Impugned Order

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/11/2026 at 11:30:30

dated 29.07.2026, the Respondent has filed a Maintenance Petition but she is neither appearing in her own Maintenance Petition or in the Guardianship Petition. Orally, the Family Court has been informed about the non-compliance of the Order dated 29.07.2026.

5. Submissions heard.

6. It is the execution of the visitation rights granted *vide* Order dated 29.07.2026 which has been sought by the Petitioner. There exists an alternative efficacious remedy to seek the execution of the said Order or to move an appropriate Application before the learned Family Court, to seek its implementation.

7. In view of the aforesaid, without having made any endeavour to move an Application before the Family Court or to file the Execution Petition, the Petitioner has rushed to file the present Contempt Petition.

8. As per the Petitioner's own submissions, the Respondent has not been appearing either in the Maintenance Petition or the in the Guardianship Petition.

9. In view of the aforesaid, the circumstances do not show that there is any wilful or contumacious disobedience of the visitation Order. In any case, there is an alternative efficacious remedy available with the Petitioner.

10. The Petition is hereby, dismissed.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

NOVEMBER 19, 2026/dss

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 23/11/2026 at 11:30:30