$~65

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\# **CNR No. DLHC010546242026**

\+ CONT.CAS(C) 2088/2026, CM APPL. 78596/2026

PARUL JAIN

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sumit Saurav, Advocate.

versus

HITESH GARG

.....Respondent

Through:

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

O R D E R

% 17.11.2026

Matter is taken up today as 16.11.2026 was declared holiday on account of 'Chhath Puja' vide Notification No.110/G-4./Genl.-I/DHC.

1. A Contempt Petition under Section 11 &12 of the Contempt of Courts Act read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India has been filed for willful disobedience of the impugned Judgment dated 16.03.2024 passed by the Family Court in HMA No.651/2024.

2. It is submitted that the parties had entered into a mediated Settlement Agreement dated 27.05.2022 wherein it was agreed that the parties would take divorce by mutual consent. It was further agreed that the Respondent/husband shall pay Rs.25 lacs in all, towards the satisfaction of all the claims of past, present and future maintenance, alimony, istridhan, dowry articles and all other miscellaneous expenses. The two Motions of Divorce have been accepted and the Divorce has been granted. However, it

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 19/11/2026 at 11:33:29

is submitted that only Rs.15 lacs have been paid but Rs.10 lacs are yet not been paid which were payable at the time of quashing of FIR No.73/2019 under Section 498A/406/34 IPC P.S. Maurya Enclave.

3. It is submitted that the Respondent is not coming forth for quashing of the FIR and, therefore, he has committed Contempt of the mediated Settlement.

4. As per the Settlement, Rs.10 lacs were payable at the time of quashing of FIR for which the Respondent is not coming forth. In so far as the grievance of the Petitioner for immediate need for maintenance of the two children is concerned, in case the Settlement is not abided by the Respondent, the Petitioner has a remedy to either seek Execution or to seek maintenance on account of non-compliance of mediated Settlement by the Respondent.

5. Learned counsel for the Petitioner seeks permission to withdrawn the Contempt Petition with liberty to seek his remedy, in accordance with law.

6. In view of the aforesaid, the present Contempt Petition is permitted to be withdrawn with liberty, as prayed for.

7. The Contempt Petition stands disposed of accordingly along with the pending Application(s).

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

NOVEMBER 17, 2026/va

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 19/11/2026 at 11:33:29