



\$~23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(CRL) 2432/2025**

BHUPENDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Tarun Khanna, Adv.
versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Rupali Bandhopadhyaya, ASC
SI-Anju, PS: SJ Enclave

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

% **13.04.2026**

1. By virtue of the present petition under *Article 226* of the Constitution of India read with *Section 528* of BNSS, the petitioner seeks a parole for a period of *60 days* in proceeding arising out of FIR no. 227/2010 registered at PS Safdarjung Enclave under *Section 302* of Indian Penal Code.

2. *Vide* last order dated 23.03.2026, this Court passed the following order:

"Learned ASC for the State has handed over a copy of letter dated 28.11.2025 issued by Deputy Secretary (Home), Government of NCT of Delhi, Home Department, at 5th level, "A" Wing, Delhi Saehivalaya, IP Estate, Delhi and the same is taken on record, which records as under:-

"It is therefore, informed that the application of said convict for grant of parole forwarded to this office vide your letter dated 30.06.2025 may be treated as infructuous as the convict now become eligible for grant of furlough. Further, it is informed that the said convict may be allowed to avail his furlough first following provision below



sub rule 8(xi) of Rule 1213 of Delhi Prison Rules, 2018 as the convict is not eligible for parole at this stage.””

3. In view of the aforesaid, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be filing a fresh parole application before the competent authority within a period of two weeks.

4. Considering the petitioner had originally filed an application for parole with the respondent way back on 24.05.2025, and a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court *vide* order dated 16.07.2025 in W.P.(CRL) 2118/2025 specifically directed the competent authority to decide the same within a period of *two weeks*, which eventually got rejected only on 28.11.2025 for no fault of the petitioner and since the same got infructuous with the passage of time, this Court is of the considered opinion that it would be in the interest of justice to give a chance to the petitioner to file an application seeking parole afresh before the Competent Authority within a period of *two weeks*, and also direct the said Competent Authority to decide the same within a period of *four weeks* from the receipt thereof notwithstanding *Rule 1213(8)(xi)* of Delhi Prison Rules, 2018.

5. The present petition is accordingly disposed of with the aforesaid directions.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

APRIL 13, 2026/Ab