$~91 & 12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\# **CNR No. DLHC010538142026**

\+ W.P.(CRL) 3493/2026

RAJIV @ MONU .....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Siddharth Yadav and Mr. Anmol Kumar Pandey, Advocates.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) .....Respondent

Through: Mr. Yasir Rauf Ansari, ASC for State with Mr. Alok Sharma, Ms. Sonia Malhotra and Mr. Pavitra Dixit, Advocates
SI Parvesh, PS: Mundka.

12.

\# **CNR No. DLHC010482672026**

\+ W.P.(CRL) 3159/2026

RAJIV @ MONU .....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Siddharth Yadav and Mr. Anmol Kumar Pandey, Advocates.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) .....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel (Criminal) for State with Mr. Mukesh Kumar, APP, Mr. Abhinav Kumar and Mr. Aryan Sachdeva, Advocates.
SI Parvesh, PS: Mundka.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

O R D E R

% 05.11.2026

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/11/2026 at 12:52:39

W.P.(CRL) 3493/2026

1. The present petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:

> a) Issue a writ in the nature of Certiorari quashing order bearing No. F.18/13/2026/HG/PRISONS/8947-49 dated 29.10.2026 passed by the respondent;
>
> b) Issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus granting parole for a period of sixty (60) days to the petitioner in FIR No. 190/2013, U/s 302/201/1208 IPC, P.S. – Mundka;
>
> c) Issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus granting simultaneous release of the petitioner with his wife and co-convict Poonam on parole for a period of sixty (60) days;

2. The parole application has been rejected *vide* order dated 29th October, 2026 passed by the respondent on the ground that the petitioner recently availed furlough of two (2) weeks with effect from 1st August, 2026 to 15th August, 2026.

3. In the opinion of this Court, this cannot be a ground for denial of parole to the petitioner. No rule has been cited on behalf of the respondent justifying the aforesaid rejection.

4. To be noted, wife of the petitioner has already been granted furlough, however, she has not availed the same as the she and the petitioner seek simultaneous release.

5. This Court has perused the nominal roll of the petitioner which is the subject matter of W.P.(CRL) 3159/2026. In terms of the nominal roll, the conduct of the petitioner in Jail has been satisfactory. The petitioner has regularly been availing furlough from the Court.

6. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed. The impugned order

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/11/2026 at 12:52:39

dated 29th October, 2026 is set aside.

7. The petitioner is directed to be released on parole simultaneously with the wife of the petitioner for a period of four (4) weeks from the date of release, subject to the same terms and conditions as was mentioned in the order passed on 17th March, 2025 in W.P.(CRL) 519/2025.

8. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

W.P.(CRL) 3159/2026

9. In view of the order passed above, W.P.(CRL) 3159/2026 has become infructuous and is disposed of as such.

AMIT BANSAL, J

NOVEMBER 5, 2026
Rzu

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/11/2026 at 12:52:39